

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/563,130</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) KARTHAUSER, JOACHIM</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Kuo-Liang Peng</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1796</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/563,130	Applicant(s) KARTHAUSER, JOACHIM	Examiner Kuo-Liang Peng	Art Unit 1796
Application No. 10/563,130	Applicant(s) KARTHAUSER, JOACHIM				
Examiner Kuo-Liang Peng	Art Unit 1796				

All Participants:

(1) Kuo-Liang Peng.

(2) Roger Lee.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

46, 52, 53

Prior art documents discussed:

EP 315 836

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to be patentably distinct from the disclosure of EP 315 836, Claims 46 and 52-53 are deleted.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuo-Liang Peng/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)